

Tilburg University

Intersection theorems on the unit simplex and the simplotope

Talman, A.J.J.

Publication date:
1991

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Talman, A. J. J. (1991). *Intersection theorems on the unit simplex and the simplotope*. (CentER Discussion Paper; Vol. 1991-57). Unknown Publisher.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CBM

entER

CBM
R


for

nic Research

Discussion paper

8414
1991

57




No. 9157

**INTERSECTION THEOREMS ON THE UNIT
SIMPLEX AND THE SIMPLOTOPE**

by A.J.J. Talman

R57
518.53



November 1991

ISSN 0924-7815

Intersection theorems on the unit simplex and the simplotope

A.J.J. Talman

Department of Econometrics

Tilburg University

P.O. Box 90153

5000 LE Tilburg

The Netherlands

October 1991

Intersection theorems on the unit simplex and the simplotope *

by
A.J.J. Talman

Abstract

In this paper we give a survey and present several new results of intersection theorems on the unit simplex and the simplotope. The most familiar intersection theorem on the unit simplex is the KKM lemma which states that under some boundary condition the intersection of $n + 1$ closed subsets covering the n -dimensional unit simplex S^n is nonempty. Other intersection theorems with $n + 1$ subsets are Scarf's lemma and its generalization without boundary conditions. We also consider Shapley's and Ichiishi's lemma, where the unit simplex S^n is covered by subsets C^T with T being a subset of the set $\{1, \dots, n + 1\}$ instead of an integer. We generalize these results to one without boundary condition and introduce for that purpose the concept of T -balancedness. Next we generalize the theorems stated on the unit simplex to the simplotope, this being the cartesian product of unit simplices. This leads to some known intersection results on the simplotope but also to several new theorems, thereby generalizing the concept of balancedness and T -balancedness to the simplotope.

*This research is part of the VF-program "Competition and Cooperation".

1 Introduction

Intersection theorems are used to prove the existence of solutions to mathematical programming problems. The most well-known intersection theorem is probably the Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz lemma. This lemma (see [5]) states that $n + 1$ closed subsets covering the n -dimensional unit simplex S^n and satisfying some boundary condition have a nonempty intersection. The unit simplex S^n is the subset of the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} where all the components are nonnegative and sum up to one. If we label the $n + 1$ subsets of S^n by C^1, \dots, C^{n+1} then the boundary condition is that for every x in the boundary of the unit simplex there is some index i for which $x \in C^i$ and $x_i > 0$. The dual of the KKM lemma was introduced in Scarf [12]. This lemma says that $n + 1$ closed sets C^1, \dots, C^{n+1} covering S^n have a nonempty intersection if for x in the boundary it holds that $x \in C^i$ for some index i for which $x_i = 0$. The Scarf lemma was generalized in [10] as follows. If C^1, \dots, C^{n+1} are closed subsets covering S^n then there exists an $x^* \in S^n$ such that $x_j^* = 0$ whenever $x^* \notin C^j$.

The KKM lemma has been generalized in Shapley [13] to an intersection theorem of sets C^T with T arbitrary subsets of the index set $\{1, \dots, n + 1\}$ instead of consisting out of just one index. Shapley's lemma states that a collection of closed subsets $C^T, T \subset \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$, covering S^n contains a balanced collection of sets C^{T_1}, \dots, C^{T_k} having a nonempty intersection if for every x in the boundary of S^n there exists a subset C^T containing x such that $x_j > 0$ for all $j \in T$. Its dual counterpart was proved in Ichiishi [3] and gives the same result when for every point x in the boundary of S^n there exists a subset C^T containing x such that $i \in T$ for all indices i for which $x_i = 0$. The lemma of Shapley was introduced to prove the nonemptiness of the core of a balanced cooperative game with nontransferable utilities of the players. In this paper we generalize the lemma of Ichiishi to an intersection theorem in which the subsets C^T do not satisfy any boundary condition. For that purpose we need to generalize balancedness to T -balancedness with respect to some subset T of the index set $\{1, \dots, n + 1\}$. Then it can be proved that for a collection of closed subsets $C^T, T \subset \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$, covering S^n there exists an $x^* \in S^n$ such that x^* lies in the intersection of some T^* -balanced collections of subsets, where T^* is the set of indices j for which $x_j^* > 0$.

In the paper we also generalize the intersection theorems on the unit simplex mentioned above to such theorems on the simplotope, this being the product space of several unit simplices. We first give intersection theorems where the number of subsets covering the simplotope is equal to the number of variables and these sets therefore can be

labelled by the indices corresponding to the variables. These theorems were stated in Freund [2], van der Laan, Talman, and Van der Heyden [9], and van der Laan and Talman [7]. Next we consider intersection theorems where the subsets covering the simplotope are labelled by a set of indices. Generalizations of the KKM lemma and Scarf lemma are obtained when these sets are labelled by exactly N indices where N is the number of unit simplices out of which the simplotope exists. These theorems were developed recently in van der Laan and Talman [8] and can be used to prove the existence of a Nash equilibrium in a noncooperative game. Finally, we generalize the results of Shapley and Ichiishi to intersection theorems on the simplotope. For that purpose we generalize the concept of balancedness to the simplotope. Also T -balancedness for an arbitrary index set T is introduced and an intersection theorem is given for an arbitrary collection of closed subsets covering the simplotope. This results in a nonempty intersection of some T -balanced subcollection of subsets where the set T is the set of indices for which the corresponding components of the intersection point is positive.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 consists of the mathematical preliminaries. Several concepts and some notation are introduced concerning the simplotope and intersection properties. Also a unifying result for the existence of a stationary point is given. This result is used in almost all proofs for showing the intersection theorems. Section 3 discusses the intersection theorems on the unit simplex whereas Section 4 treats the theorems on the simplotope.

2 Preliminaries

For some positive integer k , let S^k be the k -dimensional unit simplex, i.e.,

$$S^k = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{k+1} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} x_j = 1, x_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k+1\}.$$

Let n_1, \dots, n_N be N positive integers for some given positive integer N and let n be equal to $\sum_{j=1}^N n_j$. We call the cartesian product of S^{n_1}, \dots, S^{n_N} , denoted S , a simplotope, so

$$S = S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_N}.$$

The dimension of S is equal to n . An element in S is denoted by

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \text{ with } x_j \in S^{n_j} \text{ for all } j.$$

The k -th component of the vector x_j in S^{n_j} is denoted by x_{jk} or $x_{j,k}$ and is also called the (j, k) -th component of an element x in S , for $k \in \{1, \dots, n_j + 1\}$. For $j = 1, \dots, N$, the set $I(j)$ will be equal to the index set $\{(j, 1), \dots, (j, n_j + 1)\}$, and I will denote the union of $I(j)$ over all j . The set I_k will denote the index set $\{1, \dots, k\}$.

Let F be an upper-hemicontinuous mapping from S to the collection of (nonempty) subsets of S such that for each x in S the set $F(x)$ is convex and the compact, then according to Kakutani's fixed point theorem there exists an x^* in S such that $x^* \in F(x^*)$, see [4].

Next, let F be an upper-hemicontinuous mapping from S to (the set of subsets of) $\prod_{j=1}^N \mathbf{R}^{n_j+1}$ such that for every $x \in S$ the set $F(x)$ is nonempty, convex, compact and such that $\bigcup_{x \in S} F(x)$ is a bounded set. We call an element x^* in S a stationary point of F on S if for some y^* in $F(x^*)$ it holds that for all $j \in I_N$

$$x_j^\top y_j^* \leq (x_j^*)^\top y_j^* \text{ for all } x_j \in S^{n_j}.$$

Lemma 2.1. The point-to-set mapping F has a stationary point on S .

Proof. Let U be a compact, convex set in $\prod_{j=1}^N \mathbf{R}^{n_j+1}$, containing the set $\bigcup_{x \in S} F(x)$. Then we define the mapping H from U to (the set of subsets of) S by

$$H(y) = \{x^* \in S | x_j^\top y_j \leq (x_j^*)^\top y_j \text{ for all } x_j \in S^{n_j} \text{ and } j \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}.$$

Clearly, H is upper-hemicontinuous and for every y in U the set $H(y)$ is nonempty, convex, compact, whereas $\bigcup_{y \in U} H(y)$ as a subset of S is bounded. For $(x, y) \in S \times U$, let $G(x, y)$ be defined by $G(x, y) = H(y) \times F(x)$, then G is an upper-hemicontinuous mapping from $S \times U$ into itself satisfying that for every (x, y) in $S \times U$ the set $G(x, y)$ is nonempty, convex, compact. Therefore, according to Kakutani's fixed point theorem, there exists an $(x^*, y^*) \in S \times U$ such that $x^* \in H(y^*)$ and $y^* \in F(x^*)$, which proves the lemma. □

Given the unit simplex S^n , for a nonempty subset T of I_{n+1} , m^T denotes the barycentre of the face $S^n(T)$ of S^n , where $S^n(T) = \{x \in S^n | x_j = 0 \text{ for every } j \notin T\}$. So, $m_j^T = 1/|T|$ for $j \in T$ and $m_j^T = 0$ for $j \notin T$, with $|T|$ denoting the number of elements of T . Similarly, given the simplotope S , let T be a subset of the index set I such that $T \cap I(j) \neq \emptyset$ for every $j \in I_N$. Then m^T will denote the barycentre of the face $S(T)$ of S where $S(T) = \{x \in S | x_{j,k} = 0 \text{ for every } (j, k) \notin T\}$, i.e., $m_{j,k}^T = 1/|T \cap I(j)|$ for $(j, k) \in T$ and $m_{j,k}^T = 0$ for $(j, k) \notin T$. When T is equal to the index set I (or I_{n+1} on the unit simplex) we often write m instead of m^I (or $m^{I_{n+1}}$). When for $T \subset I_{n+1}$ the set T consists of only one index, say j , we also write $e(j)$ instead of $m^{\{j\}}$. Similarly, when for $T \subset I$ the set $T \cap I(j)$ consists of only one index for every $j \in I_N$, we also write $e(T)$ instead of m^T . Notice that for such a T the vector m^T is a vertex of S and that $e_j(T)$ is an $(n_j + 1)$ -dimensional unit vector for every $j \in I_N$.

3 Intersection theorems on the unit simplex

The most well-known intersection theorems on the unit simplex are the lemma's of Knaster Kuratowski Mazurciewicz (KKM) [5], Scarf [12], Ichiishi [3], and Shapley [13]. All these theorems give sufficient conditions under which a certain subset of closed sets covering the unit simplex has a nonempty intersection. In this section we state and prove these theorems by using Lemma 2.1 and we generalize the result of Ichiishi to an intersection theorem without boundary condition.

Theorem 3.1 (KKM lemma). Let C^1, C^2, \dots, C^{n+1} be closed subsets covering S^n such that if x lies in the boundary of the unit simplex then $x \in C^i$ for some index i for which $x_i > 0$. Then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} C^i \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Let W^n be the set defined by

$$W^n = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} w_j = 1, w_j \leq 1 + (n+1)^{-1} \text{ for all } j\}.$$

Then W^n is the convex hull of the $n+1$ points $w(1), \dots, w(n+1)$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} w_i(j) &= -(n^2 + n - 1)/(n+1) & \text{if } i = j \\ &= 1 + (n+1)^{-1} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, W^n contains the n -dimensional unit simplex S^n in its interior. For $w \in W^n$, let $p(w)$ be the relative projection of w on S^n , i.e., $p(w) = w$ when $w \in S^n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p_j(w) &= 0 & \text{if } w_j \leq 0 \\ &= w_j / \sum_{\{i \mid w_i > 0\}} w_i & \text{if } w_j > 0 \end{aligned}$$

when $w \in W^n \setminus S^n$.

Next let the point-to-set mapping F from W^n to the set of subsets of W^n be defined by

$$F(w) = \text{Conv}(\{w(j) \mid p(w) \in C^j \text{ and } w_j \geq 0\}).$$

Then F is upper-hemicontinuous and for every w in W^n the set $F(w)$ is a nonempty,

convex, compact subset of W^n . According to Kakutani's fixed point theorem, there exists an w^* in W^n such that $w^* \in F(w^*)$. We will show that w^* lies in S^n and that $w^* \in C^i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let T^* be the subset of $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$ such that $j \in T^*$ if and only if $p(w^*) \in C^j$ and $w_j^* \geq 0$. By assumption, the set T^* is nonempty. Suppose that w^* lies in $W^n \setminus S^n$. Then there exists an index h such that $w_h^* < 0$. Consequently, h is not an element of T^* . Since $w^* \in F(w^*)$, there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_j^*, j \in T^*$, summing up to one such that

$$w^* = \sum_{j \in T^*} \lambda_j^* w(j).$$

Since $w_h^* < 0$, $h \notin T^*$, and $w_h(j) = 1 + (n+1)^{-1}$ when $j \neq h$, we obtain that

$$0 > w_h^* = \sum_{j \in T^*} \lambda_j^* [1 + (n+1)^{-1}] = 1 + (n+1)^{-1} > 0,$$

yielding a contradiction. Therefore w^* lies in S^n . We now show that $T^* = \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Suppose that $h \notin T^*$ for some $h \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Then since $w_h^* \leq 1$, $h \notin T^*$, and $w_h(j) = 1 + (n+1)^{-1}$ when $j \neq h$,

$$1 \geq w_h^* = \sum_{j \in T^*} \lambda_j^* [1 + (n+1)^{-1}] = 1 + (n+1)^{-1} > 1,$$

yielding again a contradiction. Consequently, $T^* = \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ and so $w^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} C^i$. \square

Theorem 3.2 (Generalized Scarf lemma [10]). Let C^1, C^2, \dots, C^{n+1} be closed subsets covering S^n . Then there exists an $x^* \in S^n$ such that $x_j^* = 0$ whenever $x^* \notin C^j$, $j = 1, \dots, n+1$.

Proof. Let the point-to-set mapping F from S^n to the set of subsets of S^n be defined by

$$F(x) = \text{Conv}(\{e(j) | x \in C^j\}).$$

Then F is upper-hemicontinuous and for every $x \in S^n$ the set $F(x)$ is nonempty, convex and compact. According to Kakutani's fixed point theorem there exists an x^* in S^n such that $x^* \in F(x^*)$. Let T^* be such that $T^* = \{j | x^* \in C^j\}$. Then there exist nonnegative

numbers λ_j^* , $j \in T^*$, summing up to one such that

$$x^* = \sum_{j \in T^*} \lambda_j^* e(j).$$

Consequently, $x_j^* = 0$ when $j \notin T^*$, and $x^* \in C^j$ when $j \in T^*$, which proves the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.3 (Scarf lemma). Let C^1, C^2, \dots, C^{n+1} be closed subsets covering S^n such that if x lies in the boundary of S^n then $x \in C^i$ for some index i for which $x_i = 0$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} C^i \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Since C^1, C^2, \dots, C^{n+1} are closed subsets covering S^n there exists according to Theorem 3.2 an $x^* \in S^n$ such that $x^* \notin C^j$ implies $x_j^* = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n+1$. Suppose $x^* \notin C^h$ for some $h \in I_{n+1}$. Then $x_h^* = 0$. Let $\{x^\ell | \ell = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of points in S^n converging to x^* such that $x_h^\ell = 0$ and $x_j^\ell > 0$ for all $j \neq h$. By the statement of the corollary, x^ℓ must lie in C^h for every ℓ . Since C^h is closed and x^ℓ converges to x^* for ℓ going to infinity, x^* must lie in C^h , yielding a contradiction. Therefore, $x^* \in C^j$ for every $j \in I_{n+1}$. \square

Other intersection theorems on the unit simplex are obtained when the unit simplex is covered by subsets C^T with T being arbitrary subsets of I_{n+1} . A well known result from Shapley [13] is that under some boundary condition similar to the one in the KKM lemma the intersection of at least one balanced collection of sets C^T is nonempty.

Definition 3.1. Let B be a collection of a finite number of subsets of I_{n+1} , say $B = \{T_1, \dots, T_k\}$. The collection B is balanced if there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* = 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m_i^{T_j} = m$.

The collection B is balanced with respect to some subset T^* of I_{n+1} (or T^* -balanced) if there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* = 1$ and for some $\alpha^* > 0$

- i) $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m_i^{T_j} = \alpha^*$ if $i \in T^*$.
- ii) $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m_i^{T_j} \leq \alpha^*$ if $i \notin T^*$.

Balancedness of B means that every set T^j in B can be given a weight λ_j^* such that for every index $i \in I_{n+1}$ it holds that

$$\sum_{i \in T_j} \lambda_j^* / |T_j| = 1/(n+1).$$

So for every $i \in I_{n+1}$ the total weight of index i in the sets T_j containing i , being λ_j^* divided by the number of indices in T_j , aggregated over all $j = 1, \dots, k$ is the same and therefore equal to $(n+1)^{-1}$. In case of T^* -balanced the same holds for every $i \in T^*$ whereas the total weight for $i \notin T^*$ might be less. Notice that a balanced collection is T^* -balanced for every nonempty $T^* \subset I_{n+1}$.

The next theorem states that when S^n is covered by closed subsets C^T such that if x lies in the boundary of S^n there is some index set T such that $x \in C^T$ and $x_j > 0$ for every $j \in T$, then there exists a balanced collection of subsets having a nonempty intersection. This result is called the Shapley lemma and is a generalization of the KKM-lemma.

Theorem 3.4 (Shapley lemma). Let $C^T, T \subset I_{n+1}$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S^n such that for every x in the boundary of S^n there is a subset C^T containing x for which $x_j > 0$ for all $j \in T$. Then there exists a balanced collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_k such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^k C^{T_j} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let W^n be as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1, let $p(w)$ be again the relative projection of $w \in W^n$ on S^n , and for $j = 1, \dots, n+1$ let $w(j)$ be the vertex of W^n defined by

$$\begin{aligned} w_i(j) &= -(n^2 + n - 1)/(n+1) & \text{if } i = j \\ &= 1 + (n+1)^{-1} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let the point-to-set mapping F from W^n to \mathbf{R}^{n+1} be defined by

$$F(w) = \text{Conv}(\{m - m^T | p(w) \in C^T \text{ and } w_j \geq 0 \text{ for all } j \in T\})$$

Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i = 0$ for all $y \in F(w)$. Clearly, F is an upper- hemicontinuous mapping and for every $w \in W^n$ the set $F(w)$ is nonempty, convex and compact. Moreover, the set $\bigcup_{w \in W^n} F(w)$ is compact. Hence, according to Lemma 2.1 there exist $x^* \in W^n$ and $y^* \in F(x^*)$ such that

$$x^T y^* \leq (x^*)^T y^* \quad \text{for all } x \in W^n.$$

We will show that x^* lies in W^n and that x^* lies in the intersection of a balanced set of C^T 's. Let $(x^*)^T y^*$ be equal to α^* then $\alpha^* \geq 0$ if we take x equal to m .

If we take x equal to $w(j) = (n+2)m - (n+1)e(j)$, we obtain that $y_j^* \geq -\alpha^*/(n+1)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n+1$. When $x_j^* < 1 + (n+1)^{-1}$ we obtain for x equal to $(1+\varepsilon)x^* - \varepsilon w(j)$ for small enough $\varepsilon > 0$ that $y_j^* \leq -\alpha^*/(n+1)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} y_j^* &= -\alpha^*/(n+1) \quad \text{if } x_j^* < 1 + (n+1)^{-1} \\ &\geq -\alpha^*/(n+1) \quad \text{if } x_j^* = 1 + (n+1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let B^* be the collection of subsets T_1, \dots, T_k such that, for $j = 1, \dots, k$, $p(x^*) \in C^{T_j}$ and $x_i^* \geq 0$ for all $i \in T_j$. Since $y^* \in F(x^*)$ there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ summing up to one such that

$$y^* = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* (m - m^{T_j}). \quad (3.1)$$

Suppose that $x_i^* < 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Then $i \notin T_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and therefore $y_i^* = 1/(n+1) > 0$. On the other hand, $x_i^* < 0$ implies that $x_i^* < 1 + (n+1)^{-1}$ and hence that $y_i^* = -\alpha^*/(n+1) \leq 0$. Consequently, from this contradiction it follows that $x_i^* \geq 0$ for all i and so $x^* \in S^n$. The latter implies that $x_i^* < 1 + (n+1)^{-1}$ for all i and hence that $y_i^* = -\alpha^*/(n+1)$ for all i . Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i^* = 0$, this yields $\alpha^* = 0$ and so $y_i^* = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. From (3.1) it then follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m^{T_j} = m.$$

Consequently, the collection B^* is balanced. Moreover, since $x^* \in S^n$ and hence $p(x^*) = x^*$, we also have that $x^* \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k C^{T_j}$, which proves the theorem. \square

The next result can be considered as the dual of Shapley lemma and is due to Ichiishi [3].

Theorem 3.5 (Ichiishi lemma). Let C^T , $T \subset I_{n+1}$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S^n such that for every x in the boundary of S^n there is a subset C^T containing

x for which $i \in T$ when $x_i = 0$. Then there exists a balanced collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_k such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^k C^{T_j} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let the set V^n be given by

$$V^n = \{v \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} v_i = 1, v_i \geq -(n+1)^{-1} \text{ for all } i \in I_{n+1}\}.$$

Clearly, V^n is the convex hull of the points $v(i) = 2e(i) - m$, $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. Let $p(v)$ be the relative projection of $v \in V^n$ on S^n as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Next, let the mapping F from V^n to the set of subsets of \mathbf{R}^n be defined by

$$F(v) = \text{Conv}(\{m^T - m \mid p(v) \in C^T \text{ and } i \in T \text{ if } v_i < 0\}).$$

Then F is upper-hemicontinuous and for every $v \in V^n$ the set $F(v)$ is nonempty, convex and compact. Moreover the set $\bigcup_{v \in V^n} F(v)$ is compact. According to Lemma 2.1, there exist $x^* \in V^n$ and $y^* \in F(x^*)$ such that for all $x \in V^n$

$$x^\top y^* \leq (x^*)^\top y^*.$$

Let B^* be the collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_j such that for all $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ it holds that $p(x^*) \in C^{T_j}$ and $i \in T_j$ if $x_i^* < 0$. We will show that B^* is balanced. Let α^* be equal to $(x^*)^\top y^*$, then $\alpha^* \geq 0$ when we take x equal to m . Moreover, when we take x equal to $v(i)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$, we obtain $y_i^* \leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha^*$. On the other hand, in case $x_i^* > -(n+1)^{-1}$ and we take x equal to $(1+\varepsilon)x^* - \varepsilon v(i)$ for small enough $\varepsilon > 0$ we obtain $y_i^* \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha^*$. All of this together implies

$$\begin{aligned} y_i^* &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha^* \geq 0 & \text{when } x_i^* > -(n+1)^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha^* & \text{when } x_i^* = -(n+1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $j = 1, \dots, k$ we have that $i \in T_j$ when $x_i^* = -(n+1)^{-1}$, by construction of B^* . Since $y^* \in F(x^*)$, there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ summing up to one such that

$$y^* = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* (m^{T_j} - m).$$

Therefore, when $x_i^* = -(n+1)^{-1}$ and so $i \in T_j$ for all j , we have that

$$y_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* (|T_j|^{-1} - (n+1)^{-1}) \geq 0.$$

Consequently, $y_i^* \geq 0$ for all i . Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i^* = 0$, this implies $y^* = 0$ and so $\alpha^* = 0$. Hence,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m^{T_j} = m.$$

So, B^* is a balanced collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_k such that $p(x^*) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k C^{T_j}$, which completes the proof. \square

The next theorem is new and states that when S^n is covered by an arbitrary collection of closed subsets C^T with $T \subset \{1, \dots, n+1\}$, then there exists an $x^* \in S^n$ such that x^* is an intersection point of some T^* -balanced collection of sets, with $T^* = \{j | x_j^* > 0\}$.

Theorem 3.6. Let C^T , $T \subset I_{n+1}$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S^n . Then there exists an $x^* \in S^n$ such that for $T^* = \{i | x_i^* > 0\}$ there is a T^* -balanced collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_k for which $x^* \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k C^{T_j}$.

Proof. Let the point-to-set mapping F from S^n to the set of subsets of S^n be defined by

$$F(x) = \text{Conv}(\{m^T | x \in C^T\})$$

The mapping F is upper-hemicontinuous and for every x in S^n the set $F(x)$ is nonempty, convex and compact. Moreover, the union of $F(x)$ over all $x \in S^n$ is bounded. Hence, according to Lemma 2.1 there exist $x^* \in S^n$ and $y^* \in F(x^*)$ such that

$$x^T y^* \leq (x^*)^T y^* \quad \text{for all } x \in S^n.$$

When we take x equal to m , we obtain that $\alpha^* = (x^*)^T y^* > 0$. Moreover, when we take x equal to $c(i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} y_i^* &= \alpha^* & \text{if } x_i^* > 0 \\ &\leq \alpha^* & \text{if } x_i^* = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let B^* be the collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_k such that $x^* \in C^{T_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. We will show that B^* is T^* -balanced where $T^* = \{i | x_i^* > 0\}$. Since $y^* \in F(x^*)$ there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ summing up to one such that

$$y^* = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m^{T_j}.$$

Consequently, since $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* = 1$, $y_i^* = \alpha^*$ when $x_i^* > 0$, and $y_i^* \leq \alpha^*$ when $x_i^* = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in T^*} \lambda_j^* / |T_j| &= \alpha^* & \text{for } i \in T^* \\ &\leq \alpha^* & \text{for } i \notin T^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the collection B^* is T^* -balanced. Furthermore,

$$x^* \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k C^{T_j}$$

and $x_i^* = 0$ whenever $i \notin T^*$, which concludes the theorem. \square

In the theorems above we gave all kinds of intersection theorems on the unit simplex in case this set is covered by a finite number of sets labelled by subsets of the index set I_{n+1} , where n is the dimension of the simplex. First we discussed theorems in which the sets are labelled by just one index out of the set I_{n+1} . Then we gave theorems where the sets are labelled by arbitrary sets of indices out of I_{n+1} . In the next section we generalize these theorems to intersection theorems on the simplotope. We remark that there are also intersection theorems on S^n in which the sets covering S^n are labelled by index sets different from being elements or subsets from the set $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$, e.g. see [1].

4 Intersection theorems on the simplotope

In this section we consider intersection theorems on the simplotope S where each of the sets is labelled by an index or by a set of indices in I . Labelling by sets of indices on S is new but labelling by just one index on S is well-known. One of these theorems, see Kuhn [6], concerns a collection of sets equal to the dimension of the simplotope plus one and is a trivial generalization of the KKM lemma on the unit simplex. In Freund [2] and in van der Laan, Talman, and Van der Heyden [9] an intersection theorem is given on S for a collection of closed sets covering S equal to the number of variables in S . This theorem can be considered as a generalization of the generalized Scarf lemma on the unit simplex to the simplotope.

Theorem 4.1. ([2] and [9]) Let $C^{j,k}$, $(j,k) \in I$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S . Then there exists an $x^* \in S$ such that for some $j^* \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ it holds that $x_{j^*,k}^* = 0$ if $x^* \notin C^{j^*,k}$, $k = 1, \dots, n_{j^*} + 1$.

Proof. Let the point-to-set mapping φ from S to the set of subsets of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} be defined by

$$\varphi(x) = \text{Conv}(\{e(j,k) | x \in C^{j,k}\}),$$

where $e_{j,k}(j,k) = 1$ and $e_{i,h}(j,k) = 0$ for $(i,h) \neq (j,k)$.

Then φ is upper hemi-continuous and for every $x \in S$ the set $\varphi(x)$ is a compact, convex, nonempty set. Moreover, the set $\bigcup_{x \in S} \varphi(x)$ is compact. Hence, according to Lemma 2.1 there exist $x^* \in S$ and $y^* \in \varphi(x^*)$ such that for all j

$$x_j^\top y_j^* \leq (x_j^*)^\top y_j^* \quad \text{for all } x \in S.$$

Letting $(x_j^*)^\top y_j^*$ be equal to α_j^* we obtain for all $(j,k) \in I$ that

$$\begin{aligned} y_{j,k}^* &= \alpha_j^* & \text{if } x_{j,k}^* > 0 \\ &\leq \alpha_j^* & \text{if } x_{j,k}^* = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let T^* be the set of indices (j,k) such that $x^* \in C^{j,k}$. Then, since $y^* \in \varphi(x^*)$, there exists nonnegative $\lambda_{j,k}^*$, $(j,k) \in T^*$, with $\sum_{(j,k) \in T^*} \lambda_{j,k}^* = 1$, such that

$$y^* = \sum_{(j,k) \in T^*} \lambda_{j,k}^* e(j,k).$$

Since $\sum_{(j,k) \in T^*} \lambda_{j,k}^* = 1$, there exists an $j^* \in I_N$ such that $\sum_{(j^*,k) \in T^*} \lambda_{j^*,k}^* > 0$. Clearly, $y_{j^*,k}^* = \lambda_{j^*,k}^*$ for all $(j^*,k) \in T^*$. Hence, $y_{j^*,k}^* > 0$ for at least one index (j^*,k) , and so $\alpha_{j^*}^* > 0$. Consequently, $y_{j^*,k}^* = \lambda_{j^*,k}^* > 0$ if $x_{j^*,k}^* > 0$, which can only be the case when $(j^*,k) \in T^*$. Therefore, $(j^*,k) \in T^*$ whenever $x_{j^*,k}^* > 0$. So, $(j^*,k) \notin T^*$ and hence $x^* \notin C^{j^*,k}$ implies $x_{j^*,k}^* = 0$.

□

Notice that in the theorem it is not guaranteed that for all $j = 1, \dots, N$ it holds $x_{jk}^* = 0$ whenever $x^* \notin C^{j,k}$. This is caused by the fact that $T^* \cap I(j)$ might be empty for some $j \in I_N$. From Theorem 4.1 the next corollary immediately follows.

Corollary 4.2. Let $C^{j,k}, (j,k) \in I$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S such that $C^{j,k}$ contains x whenever $x_{jk} = 0$. Then for some $j^* \in I_N$, the set $\bigcap_{(j^*,k) \in I(j^*)} C^{j^*,k} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 4.1 can be considered as a generalization of Theorem 3.2. The next two theorems generalize in the same way the KKM lemma and Scarf lemma on S^n to S , respectively.

Theorem 4.3 (KKM lemma on S [7]). Let $C^{j,k}, (j,k) \in I$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S such that if x lies in the boundary of S then $x \in C^{j,k}$ for some $(j,k) \in I$ for which $x_{j,k} > 0$. Then there is an index $j^* \in I_N$ such that

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{n_{j^*}+1} C^{j^*,k} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Let the set V in $\prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{R}^{n_j+1}$ be given by

$$V = \prod_{j=1}^N V^{n_j},$$

with $V^{n_j}, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.7, i.e.,

$$V^{n_j} = \{v_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j+1} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} v_{jk} = 1, v_{jk} \geq -(n_j+1)^{-1} \text{ for all } k\}.$$

Clearly, for $j \in I_N$, V^{n_j} is the convex hull of the points $v_j(j,k) = 2e_j(j,k) - m_j$, $k = 1, \dots, n_j + 1$. For $v \in V$, the point $p(v)$ in S will denote the relative projection of v

on S , i.e., $p(v) = (p_1(v_1), \dots, p_N(v_N))$, with $p_j(v_j)$ the relative projection of v_j in V^{n_j} on S^{n_j} as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Next, let the point-to-set mapping F from V to the set of subsets of $\prod_{j=1}^N \mathbf{R}^{n_j+1}$ be defined by

$$F(v) = \text{Conv}(\{m(j) - e(j, k) | p(v) \in C^{j,k} \text{ and } v_{jk} \geq 0\},$$

where $m_j(j) = m_j$ and $m_i(j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Then F is upper-hemicontinuous and for every $v \in V$ the set $F(v)$ is nonempty, convex and compact. Moreover, the set $\cup_{v \in V} F(v)$ is compact. According to Lemma 2.1 there exist $x^* \in V$ and $y^* \in F(x^*)$ such that for all $x \in V$ and $j \in I_N$,

$$x_j^\top y_j^* \leq (x_j^*)^\top y_j^*.$$

Let α_j^* be equal to $(x_j^*)^\top y_j^*$, then $\alpha_j^* \geq 0$ by taking $x_j = m_j$, since $m_j^\top y_j^* = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. When we take x_j equal to the vertex $v_j(j, k)$ of V^{n_j+1} we obtain $2y_{jk}^* \leq \alpha_{jk}^*$, for $(j, k) \in I$. On the other hand, if $x_{jk}^* > -(n_j + 1)^{-1}$ and when taking x_j equal to $-\varepsilon v_j(j, k) + (1 + \varepsilon)x_j^*$ for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain that $2y_{jk}^* \geq \alpha_j^*$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{jk}^* &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_j^* \geq 0 & \text{if } x_{jk}^* > -(n_j + 1)^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_j^* & \text{if } x_{jk}^* = -(n_j + 1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T^* = \{(j, k) \in I | p(x^*) \in C^{j,k} \text{ and } x_{jk}^* \geq 0\}$, and for $j = 1, \dots, N$ let $T_j^* = T^* \cap I(j)$. Since $y^* \in F(x^*)$ there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_{j,k}^*$ for $(j, k) \in T^*$ such that

$$y^* = \sum_{(j,k) \in T^*} \lambda_{j,k}^* (m(j) - e(j, k))$$

and $\sum_{(j,k)} \lambda_{j,k}^* = 1$. Suppose that $x_{jk}^* = -(n_j + 1)^{-1}$ for some $(j, k) \in I$. Then $(j, k) \notin T^*$ and hence $y_{jk}^* \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq y_{jk}^* &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_j^* & \text{if } x_{jk}^* > -(n_j + 1)^{-1} \\ 0 \leq y_{jk}^* &\leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_j^* & \text{if } x_{jk}^* = -(n_j + 1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} y_{jk}^* = 0$, for every $j \in I_N$ we must have that $\alpha_j^* = 0$ and $y_{jk}^* = 0$ for $(j, k) \in I(j)$, so $y_j^* = 0$. Since $\sum_{(j,k) \in T^*} \lambda_{j,k}^* = 1$, there is a $j^* \in I_N$ such that

$$\lambda^* := \sum_{(j^*, k) \in T_{j^*}} \lambda_{j^*, k} > 0.$$

Together this implies $(j^*, k) \in T^*$ and $\lambda_{j^*, k}^* = \lambda^*(n_{j^*} + 1)^{-1} > 0$ for every $(j^*, k) \in I(j^*)$. Hence, $x^* \in C^{j^*, k}$ for all $(j^*, k) \in I(j^*)$. □

Corollary 4.4 (Scarf lemma on S). Let $C^{j,k}$, $(j, k) \in I$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S such that if x lies in the boundary of S then $x \in C^{j,k}$ for some index (j, k) for which $x_{jk} = 0$. Then there is a $j^* \in I_N$ such that

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{n_{j^*}+1} C^{j^*, k} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. From Theorem 4.1 it follows that there exists an $x^* \in S$ such that for some $j^* \in I_N$ it holds that $x_{j^*, k}^* = 0$ when $x^* \notin C^{j^*, k}$. This implies that $x^* \in C^{j^*, k}$ when $x_{j^*, k}^* > 0$. Suppose now that $x_{j^*, k}^* = 0$ for some $(j^*, k) \in I(j^*)$. Then we will show that also $x^* \in C^{j^*, k}$. Let $\{x^\ell, \ell = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of points in S converging to x^* such that $x_{j^*, k}^\ell = 0$ and $x_{jk}^\ell > 0$ for all $(j, k) \neq (j^*, k)$, $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $x^\ell \in C^{j^*, k}$ for all ℓ and therefore also $x^* \in C^{j^*, k}$ since $C^{j^*, k}$ is closed. □

In the previous theorems which can be considered as direct generalizations of the KKM and Scarf lemmas, the sets covering S were labelled by just one index. It is also possible to cover S by sets labelled by a vector or set of indices. First we give theorems where each set of the collection is labelled by a set of N indices, one index for each $j \in I_N$. Let \mathcal{T} be the collection of sets T of indices $(j, k) \in I$ such that the set $T \cap I(j)$ consists of one element for each $j \in I_N$. When C^T , $T \in \mathcal{T}$, is a collection of sets covering S then for $T^* \subset I$ a point x lies in the set C^{T^*} if there exist T_1, \dots, T_k in \mathcal{T} such that $T^* = \bigcup_{j=1}^k T_j$ and

$$x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k C^{T_j}.$$

Theorem 4.5 (van der Laan and Talman [8]). Let C^T , $T \in \mathcal{T}$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S . Then there is an $x^* \in S$ such that for some $T^* \subset I$ it holds that $x^* \in C^{T^*}$ and $x_{jk}^* = 0$ if $(j, k) \notin T^*$.

Proof. Let the point-to-set mapping F from S into the set of subsets of S be given by

$$F(x) = \text{Conv}(\{e(T) | x \in C^T\}).$$

Clearly, for every $x \in S$ the set $F(x)$ is convex, nonempty and compact, and F is upper-hemicontinuous. According to Kakutani's fixed point theorem on S , there exists an x^* such that $x^* \in F(x^*)$, i.e., there exist nonnegative numbers λ_i^* , $i = 1, \dots, k$, such that $x^* = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^* e(T_i^*)$, where T_1^*, \dots, T_k^* are such that $x^* \in C^{T_i^*}$ for all i . Let T^* be the union of T_i^* over all i . Then $x^* \in C^{T^*}$ and, since $e_{jh}(T_i^*) = 0$ when $(j, h) \notin T_i^*$, $x_{jh}^* = 0$ if $(j, h) \notin T^*$.

□

Also this theorem can be considered as a generalization of the Generalized Scarf lemma on the unit simplex, since it coincides with Theorem 3.2 in case N is equal to 1. The next result generalizes Scarf lemma in the same way.

Corollary 4.6. Let C^T , $T \in \mathcal{T}$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S such that if x lies in the boundary of S then $x \in C^T$ for some $T \in \mathcal{T}$ containing an index $(j, k) \in I$ for which $x_{jk} = 0$. Then $C^I \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. According to Theorem 4.4 there exists an $x^* \in S$ such that $x^* \in C^{T^*}$ for some $T^* \subset I$ for which $x_{jk}^* = 0$ when $(j, k) \notin T^*$. We will show that $x^* \in C^I$. Clearly, $(j, k) \in T^*$ if $x_{jk}^* > 0$. So suppose that $x_{jk}^* = 0$. Let $\{x^\ell, \ell = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of points in S such that $x_{jk}^\ell = 0$ and $x_{ih}^\ell > 0$ for all $(i, h) \neq (j, k)$ whereas x^ℓ converges to x^* if ℓ goes to infinity. Then for every ℓ there exists a $T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $(j, k) \in T^\ell$ and $x^\ell \in C^{T^\ell}$. Since there are only a finite numbers of index sets in \mathcal{T} , there is a T^o such that $T^\ell = T^o$ for infinitely many ℓ . Without loss of generality we can assume that $T^\ell = T^o$ for every ℓ . Consequently, $x^\ell \in C^{T^o}$ for every ℓ and $(j, k) \in T^o$. Since C^{T^o} is closed and x^ℓ converges to x^* we must have that $x^* \in C^{T^o}$. Hence, $x^* \in C^T$ with $T = T^* \cup T^o$. In this way we can extend for every $(j, k) \in I$ for which $x_{jk}^* = 0$ the set T^* with an index set $T^o \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $x^* \in C^{T^o}$ and $(j, k) \in T^o$. Consequently, $x^* \in C^I$.

□

The next theorem is new and is a generalization of the KKM lemma.

Theorem 4.7. Let C^T , $T \in \mathcal{T}$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S such that if x lies in the boundary of S then $x \in C^T$ for some $T \in \mathcal{T}$ for which $x_{jk} > 0$ when $(j, k) \in T$. Then $C^I \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let the set V be defined as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 and let the point-to-set mapping F from V to the set of subsets of $\prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{R}^{n_j+1}$ be given by

$$F(v) = \text{Conv}(\{m - e(T) | p(v) \in C^T \text{ and } (j, k) \in T \text{ implies } v_{jk} \geq 0\}).$$

Then F is upper-hemicontinuous, $\bigcup_{v \in V} F(v)$ is a compact set, and for every $v \in V$ the set $F(v)$ is nonempty, convex and closed. Following the proof of Theorem 4.3 there exist $x^* \in V$ and $y^* \in F(x^*)$ such that for all $j \in I_N$ for $\alpha_j^* = (x_j^*)^T y_j^*$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{jh}^* &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha_j^* \geq 0 & \text{if } x_{jh}^* > -(n_j + 1)^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_j^* & \text{if } x_{jh}^* = -(n_j + 1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}^* = \{T \in \mathcal{T} | p(x^*) \in C^T \text{ and } (j, k) \in T \text{ implies } x_{jk}^* \geq 0\}$ and let T^* be the union of $T \in \mathcal{T}^*$. We will show that T^* is equal to I . Let T^* be equal to the collection of sets $T_1, \dots, T_k \in \mathcal{T}$. Since $y^* \in F(x^*)$, there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ with sum equal to one such that

$$y^* = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^* (m - e(T_i)).$$

Suppose that $x_{jh}^* = -(n + 1)^{-1}$ for some $(j, h) \in I$. Then $e_{jh}(T_i) = 0$ for all i and hence $y_{jh}^* \geq 0$. Therefore, $y_{jh}^* \geq 0$ for all $(j, k) \in I$ and since $\sum_k y_{jh}^* = 0$ we must have that $y_j^* = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$. Hence, for every $(j, h) \in I$

$$(n_j + 1)^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^* e_{jh}(T_i) > 0.$$

This implies that for every $(j, h) \in I$ it must hold that $e_{jh}(T_i) > 0$ for at least one $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Consequently, for every $(j, h) \in I$ there is an $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $(j, h) \in T^i$, i.e., $T^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^k T^i$ is equal to I , and hence $x^* \in C^I$.

□

In the previous theorems the sets C^T covering S were such that for every $j \in I_N$ the

set $T \cap I(j)$ consists of just one element. In the next theorems we allow T to consist of more than one index out of each $I(j)$, $j \in I_N$. So, let \mathcal{I} be the collection of subsets T of I such that for every $j \in I_N$ the set $T \cap I(j)$ consists of at least one element. Then balancedness of a collection of sets in \mathcal{I} is defined as follows.

Definition 4.1. Let $B = \{T_1, \dots, T_k\}$ be a collection of index sets such that $T_i \in \mathcal{I}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then B is balanced if there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ such that it holds that $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* = 1$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m^{T_j} = m.$$

The collection B is T^* -balanced with respect to some set $T^* \subset I$ if there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ summing up to one and positive numbers $\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_N^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m_{ih}^{T_j} &= \alpha_i^* & \text{if } (i, h) \in T^* \\ &\leq \alpha_i^* & \text{if } (i, h) \notin T^*. \end{aligned}$$

Balancedness and T -balancedness have the same interpretation as these concepts have on the unit simplex, with the addition that for every index $(i, h) \in I$ (or T^*) the aggregated weight in every index set where (i, h) belongs to must be the same as for every other index $(i, \ell) \in I$ (or T^* and not less than for $(i, \ell) \notin T^*$). The next theorems are all new.

Theorem 4.7. (Shapley lemma on S) Let C^T , $T \subset \mathcal{I}$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S such that for every x in the boundary of S there exists a subset C^T containing x for which $x_{j,k} > 0$ for all $(j, k) \in T$. Then there exists a balanced collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_k such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^k C^{T_j} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let W be equal to the cartesian product of the sets W^{n_1}, \dots, W^{n_N} with W^{n_j} , $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let the point-to-set mapping F from W to the set of subsets of $\prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{R}^{n_j+1}$ be defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.4., i.e.,

$$F(w) = \text{Conv}(\{m - m^T|_p(w) \in C^T \text{ and } w_{j,k} \geq 0 \text{ for all } (j, k) \in T\}),$$

where $p(w)$ is the relative projection of $w \in W$ on S . Following the proof of Theorem 3.4 there exist $x^* \in W$, $y^* \in F(x^*)$ and nonnegative numbers $\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_N^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} y_{jk}^* &= -\alpha_j^*/(n_j + 1) \quad \text{if } x_{jk}^* < 1 + (n_j + 1)^{-1} \\ &\geq -\alpha_j^*/(n_j + 1) \quad \text{if } x_{jk}^* = 1 + (n_j + 1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let B^* be the collection of subsets T_1, \dots, T_k such that for $j = 1, \dots, k$, $p(x^*) \in C^{T_j}$ and $x_{ih}^* \geq 0$ for all $(i, h) \in T_j$.

Since $y^* \in F(x^*)$ there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ summing up to one such that

$$y_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* (m_i - m_i^{T_j}) \text{ for all } i \in I_N.$$

Suppose that $x_{ih}^* < 0$ for some $(i, h) \notin I$. Then $(i, h) \in T_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and therefore $y_{ih}^* = (n_i + 1)^{-1} > 0$. On the other hand $x_{ih}^* < 0$ implies that $y_{ih}^* = -\alpha_i^*(n_i + 1)^{-1} \leq 0$. Consequently, $x_{ih}^* \geq 0$ for all $(i, h) \in I$ and therefore $y_{ih}^* = -\alpha_i^*(n_i + 1)^{-1} \leq 0$ for all $(i, h) \in I$. Since $\sum_{h=1}^{n_i+1} y_{ih}^* = 0$ this implies $\alpha_i^* = 0$ and so $y_i^* = 0$ for all $i \in I_N$. Hence, for every $i \in I_N$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m_i^{T_j} = m_i.$$

which proves the theorem. □

Similar to the proof of Theorems 3.5 and 4.7 we can generalize the lemma of Ichiishi to the simpletope.

Theorem 4.8. (Ichiishi lemma on S) Let C^T , $T \in \mathcal{I}$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S such that if x lies in the boundary of S there exists a subset C^T containing x for which $(i, h) \in T$ for all indices (i, h) with $x_{ih} = 0$. Then there exists a balanced collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_k such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^k C^{T_j} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let V be the cartesian product of the sets V^{n_1}, \dots, V^{n_N} , where for each j the set V^{n_j} is defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.5. Let F be the mapping from V to the set of subsets of $\prod_{j=1}^N \mathbf{R}^{n_j+1}$ defined by

$$F(v) = \text{Conv}(\{m^T - m|p(v) \in C^T \text{ and } i \in T \text{ if } v_i < 0\}).$$

Then there exist $x^* \in V$, $y^* \in F(x^*)$, and nonnegative numbers $\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_N^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ih}^* &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_i^* & \text{if } x_{ih}^* > -(n_i + 1)^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_i^* & \text{if } x_{ih}^* = -(n_i + 1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let B^* be the collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_k such that for all j it holds that $p(x^*) \in C^{T_j}$ and $(i, h) \in T_j$ if $x_{ih}^* = 0$. Then there exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ summing up to one such that

$$y_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* (m_i^{T_j} - m_i) \text{ for all } i \in I_N.$$

Therefore, when $x_{ih}^* = -(n_i + 1)^{-1}$ and so $(i, h) \in T_j$ for all j , $y_{ih}^* \geq 0$. Consequently, $\alpha_i^* = 0$ and $y_i^* = 0$ for all $i \in I_N$, and hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m^{T_j} = m,$$

which proves the theorem. □

The next theorem generalizes Theorem 3.6 to the simplotope. This theorem states that when S is covered by an arbitrary set of closed subsets C^T with $T \in \mathcal{I}$, then there exists an $x^* \in S$ such that x^* is an intersection point for some T^* -balanced collection of sets, with $T^* = \{(i, h) | x_{ih}^* > 0\}$.

Theorem 4.9. Let C^T , $T \in \mathcal{I}$, be a collection of closed subsets covering S . Then there exists an $x^* \in S$ such that for $T^* = \{(i, h) \in I | x_{ih}^* > 0\}$ there is a T^* -balanced collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_k for which $x^* \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k C^{T_j}$.

Proof. Let the point-to-set mapping F from S to the set of subsets of $\prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{R}^{n_j+1}$ be defined by

$$F(x) = \text{Conv}(\{m^T | x \in C^T\}).$$

Following the proof of Theorem 3.6 there exist $x^* \in S$, $y^* \in F(x^*)$, and nonnegative numbers $\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_N^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{ih}^* &= \alpha_i^* & \text{if } x_{ih}^* > 0 \\
&\leq \alpha_i^* & \text{if } x_{ih}^* = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, let B^* be again the collection of index sets T_1, \dots, T_k such that $x^* \in C^{T_j}$ for every j . We will show that B^* is T^* -balanced where $T^* = \{(i, h) \in I \mid x_{ih}^* > 0\}$. There exist nonnegative numbers $\lambda_i^*, \dots, \lambda_k^*$ summing up to one such that

$$y_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* m_i^{T_j} \text{ for all } i \in I_N.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(i,h) \in T_j} \lambda_j^* / |T_j^i| &= \alpha_i^* & \text{when } (i, h) \in T^* \\
&\leq \alpha_i^* & \text{when } (i, h) \notin T^*,
\end{aligned}$$

where $T_j^i = T_j \cap I(i)$, which proves the theorem. □

References

- [1] K. Fan, "A combinatorial property of pseudomanifolds and covering properties of simplices", *Journal of Math. Anal. Appl.* 31, 1970, 68-80.
- [2] R.W. Freund, "Combinatorial theorems on the simplotope that generalize results on the simplex and cube", *Mathematics of Operations Research* 11, 1986, 169-179.
- [3] T. Ichiishi, "Alternative version of Shapley's theorem on closed coverings of a simplex," *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 104, 1988, 759-763.
- [4] S. Kakutani, "A generalization of Brouwer's fixed-point theorem", *Duke Math. J.* 8, 1941, 457-459.
- [5] B. Knaster, C. Kuratowski, and S. Mazurkiewicz, "Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für n-dimensionale Simplexe", *Fundam. Math.* 14, 1929, 132-137.
- [6] H.W. Kuhn, "Some combinatorial lemmas in topology", *IBM J. Res. Develop.* 4, 1960, 518-524.
- [7] G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman, "On the computation of fixed points in the product space of unit simplices and an application to noncooperative N-person games", *Mathematics of Operations Research* 7, 1982, 1-13.
- [8] G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman, "Intersection theorems on the simplotope", mimeo, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands, 1991.
- [9] G. van der Laan, A.J.J. Talman, and L. Van der Heyden, "Simplicial variable dimension algorithms for solving the nonlinear complementarity problem on a product of unit simplices using a general labelling", *Mathematics of Operations Research* 12, 1987, 377-397.
- [10] H.J. Lüthi, "A simplicial approximation of a solution for the nonlinear complementarity problem", *Mathematical Programming* 9, 1975, 278-293.
- [11] H. Scarf, "The core of an N-person game", *Econometrica* 35, 1967, 50-69.
- [12] H. Scarf, *The Computation of Economic Equilibria*, Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, USA, 1973.
- [13] L.S. Shapley, "On balanced games without side payments", in: *Mathematical Programming*, T.C. Hu and S.M. Robinson, eds., Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1980, pp. 261-290.

Discussion Paper Series, CentER, Tilburg University, The Netherlands:

(For previous papers please consult previous discussion papers.)

No.	Author(s)	Title
9041	M. Burnovsky and I. Zang	"Costless" Indirect Regulation of Monopolies with Substantial Entry Cost
9042	P.J. Deschamps	Joint Tests for Regularity and Autocorrelation in Allocation Systems
9043	S. Chib, J. Osiewalski and M. Steel	Posterior Inference on the Degrees of Freedom Parameter in Multivariate-t Regression Models
9044	H.A. Keuzenkamp	The Probability Approach in Economic Method- ology: On the Relation between Haavelmo's Legacy and the Methodology of Economics
9045	I.M. Bomze and E.E.C. van Damme	A Dynamical Characterization of Evolution- arily Stable States
9046	E. van Damme	On Dominance Solvable Games and Equilibrium Selection Theories
9047	J. Driffill	Changes in Regime and the Term Structure: A Note
9048	A.J.J. Talman	General Equilibrium Programming
9049	H.A. Keuzenkamp and F. van der Ploeg	Saving, Investment, Government Finance and the Current Account: The Dutch Experience
9050	C. Dang and A.J.J. Talman	The D_1 -Triangulation in Simplicial Variable Dimension Algorithms on the Unit Simplex for Computing Fixed Points
9051	M. Baye, D. Kovenock and C. de Vries	The All-Pay Auction with Complete Information
9052	H. Carlsson and E. van Damme	Global Games and Equilibrium Selection
9053	M. Baye and D. Kovenock	How to Sell a Pickup Truck: "Beat-or-Pay" Advertisements as Facilitating Devices
9054	Th. van de Klundert	The Ultimate Consequences of the New Growth Theory; An Introduction to the Views of M. Fitzgerald Scott
9055	P. Kooreman	Nonparametric Bounds on the Regression Coefficients when an Explanatory Variable is Categorized
9056	R. Bartels and D.G. Fiebig	Integrating Direct Metering and Conditional Demand Analysis for Estimating End-Use Loads
9057	M.R. Veall and K.F. Zimmermann	Evaluating Pseudo- R^2 's for Binary Probit Models

No.	Author(s)	Title
9058	R. Bartels and D.G. Fiebig	More on the Grouped Heteroskedasticity Model
9059	F. van der Ploeg	Channels of International Policy Transmission
9060	H. Bester	The Role of Collateral in a Model of Debt Renegotiation
9061	F. van der Ploeg	Macroeconomic Policy Coordination during the Various Phases of Economic and Monetary Integration in Europe
9062	E. Bennett and E. van Damme	Demand Commitment Bargaining: - The Case of Apex Games
9063	S. Chib, J. Osiewalski and M. Steel	Regression Models under Competing Covariance Matrices: A Bayesian Perspective
9064	M. Verbeek and Th. Nijman	Can Cohort Data Be Treated as Genuine Panel Data?
9065	F. van der Ploeg and A. de Zeeuw	International Aspects of Pollution Control
9066	F.C. Drost and Th. E. Nijman	Temporal Aggregation of GARCH Processes
9067	Y. Dai and D. Talman	Linear Stationary Point Problems on Unbounded Polyhedra
9068	Th. Nijman and R. Beetsma	Empirical Tests of a Simple Pricing Model for Sugar Futures
9069	F. van der Ploeg	Short-Sighted Politicians and Erosion of Government Assets
9070	E. van Damme	Fair Division under Asymmetric Information
9071	J. Eichberger, H. Haller and F. Milne	Naive Bayesian Learning in 2 x 2 Matrix Games
9072	G. Alogoskoufis and F. van der Ploeg	Endogenous Growth and Overlapping Generations
9073	K.C. Fung	Strategic Industrial Policy for Cournot and Bertrand Oligopoly: Management-Labor Cooperation as a Possible Solution to the Market Structure Dilemma
9101	A. van Soest	Minimum Wages, Earnings and Employment
9102	A. Barten and M. McAleer	Comparing the Empirical Performance of Alternative Demand Systems
9103	A. Weber	EMS Credibility

No.	Author(s)	Title
9104	G. Alogoskoufis and F. van der Ploeg	Debts, Deficits and Growth in Interdependent Economies
9105	R.M.W.J. Beetsma	Bands and Statistical Properties of EMS Exchange Rates
9106	C.N. Teulings	The Diverging Effects of the Business Cycle on the Expected Duration of Job Search
9107	E. van Damme	Refinements of Nash Equilibrium
9108	E. van Damme	Equilibrium Selection in 2 x 2 Games
9109	G. Alogoskoufis and F. van der Ploeg	Money and Growth Revisited
9110	L. Samuelson	Dominated Strategies and Common Knowledge
9111	F. van der Ploeg and Th. van de Klundert	Political Trade-off between Growth and Government Consumption
9112	Th. Nijman, F. Palm and C. Wolff	Premia in Forward Foreign Exchange as Unobserved Components
9113	H. Bester	Bargaining vs. Price Competition in a Market with Quality Uncertainty
9114	R.P. Gilles, G. Owen and R. van den Brink	Games with Permission Structures: The Conjunctive Approach
9115	F. van der Ploeg	Unanticipated Inflation and Government Finance: The Case for an Independent Common Central Bank
9116	N. Rankin	Exchange Rate Risk and Imperfect Capital Mobility in an Optimising Model
9117	E. Bomhoff	Currency Convertibility: When and How? A Contribution to the Bulgarian Debate!
9118	E. Bomhoff	Stability of Velocity in the G-7 Countries: A Kalman Filter Approach
9119	J. Osiewalski and M. Steel	Bayesian Marginal Equivalence of Elliptical Regression Models
9120	S. Bhattacharya, J. Glazer and D. Sappington	Licensing and the Sharing of Knowledge in Research Joint Ventures
9121	J.W. Friedman and L. Samuelson	An Extension of the "Folk Theorem" with Continuous Reaction Functions
9122	S. Chib, J. Osiewalski and M. Steel	A Bayesian Note on Competing Correlation Structures in the Dynamic Linear Regression Model

No.	Author(s)	Title
9123	Th. van de Klundert and L. Meijdam	Endogenous Growth and Income Distribution
9124	S. Bhattacharya	Banking Theory: The Main Ideas
9125	J. Thomas	Non-Computable Rational Expectations Equilibria
9126	J. Thomas and T. Worrall	Foreign Direct Investment and the Risk of Expropriation
9127	T. Gao, A.J.J. Talman and Z. Wang	Modification of the Kojima-Nishino-Arima Algorithm and its Computational Complexity
9128	S. Altug and R.A. Miller	Human Capital, Aggregate Shocks and Panel Data Estimation
9129	H. Keuzenkamp and A.P. Barten	Rejection without Falsification - On the History of Testing the Homogeneity Condition in the Theory of Consumer Demand
9130	G. Mailath, L. Samuelson and J. Swinkels	Extensive Form Reasoning in Normal Form Games
9131	K. Binmore and L. Samuelson	Evolutionary Stability in Repeated Games Played by Finite Automata
9132	L. Samuelson and J. Zhang	Evolutionary Stability in Asymmetric Games
9133	J. Greenberg and S. Weber	Stable Coalition Structures with Uni- dimensional Set of Alternatives
9134	F. de Jong and F. van der Ploeg	Seigniorage, Taxes, Government Debt and the EMS
9135	E. Bomhoff	Between Price Reform and Privatization - Eastern Europe in Transition
9136	H. Bester and E. Petrakis	The Incentives for Cost Reduction in a Differentiated Industry
9137	L. Mirman, L. Samuelson and E. Schlee	Strategic Information Manipulation in Duopolies
9138	C. Dang	The D_2^* -Triangulation for Continuous Deformation Algorithms to Compute Solutions of Nonlinear Equations
9139	A. de Zeeuw	Comment on "Nash and Stackelberg Solutions in a Differential Game Model of Capitalism"
9140	B. Lockwood	Border Controls and Tax Competition in a Customs Union
9141	C. Fershtman and A. de Zeeuw	Capital Accumulation and Entry Deterrence: A Clarifying Note

No.	Author(s)	Title
9142	J.D. Angrist and G.W. Imbens	Sources of Identifying Information in Evaluation Models
9143	A.K. Bera and A. Ullah	Rao's Score Test in Econometrics
9144	B. Melenberg and A. van Soest	Parametric and Semi-Parametric Modelling of Vacation Expenditures
9145	G. Imbens and T. Lancaster	Efficient Estimation and Stratified Sampling
9146	Th. van de Klundert and S. Smulders	Reconstructing Growth Theory: A Survey
9147	J. Greenberg	On the Sensitivity of Von Neuman and Morgenstern Abstract Stable Sets: The Stable and the Individual Stable Bargaining Set
9148	S. van Wijnbergen	Trade Reform, Policy Uncertainty and the Current Account: A Non-Expected Utility Approach
9149	S. van Wijnbergen	Intertemporal Speculation, Shortages and the Political Economy of Price Reform
9150	G. Koop and M.F.J. Steel	A Decision Theoretic Analysis of the Unit Root Hypothesis Using Mixtures of Elliptical Models
9151	A.P. Barten	Consumer Allocation Models: Choice of Functional Form
9152	R.T. Baillie, T. Bollerslev and M.R. Redfearn	Bear Squeezes, Volatility Spillovers and Speculative Attacks in the Hyperinflation 1920s Foreign Exchange
9153	M.F.J. Steel	Bayesian Inference in Time Series
9154	A.K. Bera and S. Lee	Information Matrix Test, Parameter Heterogeneity and ARCH: A Synthesis
9155	F. de Jong	A Univariate Analysis of EMS Exchange Rates Using a Target Zone Model
9156	B. le Blanc	Economies in Transition
9157	A.J.J. Talman	Intersection Theorems on the Unit Simplex and the Simplotope

PO BOX 00152 5000 LE TILBURG THE NETHERLANDS

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant



17 000 01117479 5